Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,613	SCALES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON LIAO	2156
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After final</u>		
(1) <u>JASON LIAO</u> .	(3) <u>Darryl Smith (37,723)</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2009	Time: <u>5:00</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 30		
Prior art documents discussed:  Guthidge		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Jason Liao/ Examiner, Art Unit 2156 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired as to support for the negative limitation, which was provided in Written Description [0113]. Namely, discussion of a computing entity in terms of data access was discussed. Thus, it was agreed that the specification appears to envisiage a computing entity as a requester of information. Thus, scenarios with (for example) a POP3 server attempting to access data without accessing a router (routers include a CPU and memory containing at least a routing table) can be avoided and thus gross enablement issues are avoided. Additionally, a data storage server would not be considered a "computing entity" under the same reasoning.